# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 59-15-02-04-0002 Grade Range: 7-8

Name: Sullivan West Junior High School

Principal: Rod Mc Laughlin

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	45	42	126
Eighth	32	45	133
Ninth	56	29	0
Tenth	47	53	0
Eleventh	35	40	0
Twelfth	37	33	0
Ungraded Secondary	2	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	254	242	259

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04		2002-03		3–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	1.2%	2	0.8%	1	0.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	9	3.5%	9	3.7%	6	2.3%
Hispanic	5	2.0%	3	1.2%	12	4.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	237	93.3%	228	94.2%	240	92.7%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	27	0	18
Mathematics Grade 8	14	14	17
Science Grade 8	28	0	18
Social Studies Grade 8	15	14	18
English Grade 10	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 10	19	13	0
Science Grade 10	19	17	0
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description		
	All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource		
32	capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of		
	student needs for middle level schools in these districts.		

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	40	15.8%	44	18.2%	42	16.2%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.2%		93.3%		94.2%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	32	12.6%	15	5.9%	24	9.9%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.6%	6.2%	13.9%
Public Assistance	21-30%	21-30%	11-20%
Student Stability	97%	100%	97%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	19
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	39	29	74%	31	19	61%	0	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	39	29	74%	31	19	61%	0	0	0%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	2001-02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		2		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		2		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.6%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.6%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	229
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	30
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	259
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	26	96%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Т4	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	14	100%	0	0%	
Science	6	83%	8	88%	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	8	88%	0	0%	
Writing	2	#	8	100%	0	0%	
Global Studies	6	67%	8	100%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	7	86%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	34	43	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	30	36	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	32	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	7	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	74%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	19	58	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	54	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	1	41	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	26%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	5%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	44	56	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	41	50	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	28	43	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	10	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	64%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover				
Number Tested	46	37	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	42	32	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	36	29	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	14	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$ 

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	39	33	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	39	33	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	39	32	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	10	11	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	35	37	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	33	37	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	28	36	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	11	22	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	59%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	25	20	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	25	18	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	23	16	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	6	2	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			0			0			
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0			
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0			
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			l
Number Tested	15	21	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	21	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	12	17	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	7	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	81%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1 ordening of 1 obtain 2 ording of 100		rehensive La	L	0,0	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered .	January 2004	)	
Number Tested	20	15	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	20	14	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	14	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	8	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	53%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	19	89%	20	60%	0	0%
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	113	4%	49%	41%	6%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	14	14%	71%	14%	0%
	All Students	127	6%	51%	38%	6%

(Form - I)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	l		
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)				
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)